PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/EP2004/004115

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF COPIES OF TRANSLATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OR CHAPTER II OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY) (PCT Rules 44bis.3(c) and 72.2)

DECKERS, Hellmuth, Alexander Bahnhofstrasse 26 A 55218 Ingelheim ALLEMAGNE

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

EINGANG

2 9. MRZ 2006 Date of mailing (day/month/year) 09 March 2006 (09.03.2006) Applicant's or agent's file reference 03/038 VAT IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/00411.5 19 April 2004 (19.04.2004) Applicant CYTEC SURFACE SPECIALTIES AUSTRIA GMBH et al

			1)	cc: CB/ IPD	0 3. APR. 2005 (UCT
1.	Transm	ittal of the translation to the applicant.	' .	MMT =	29.92
	~	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of patentability (Chapter I).	2) of the Eng	السال كا كا كا كا كا كا الله الله الله الله	rnational preliminary report on
	The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the English translation of the international preliminary reporpatentability (Chapter II).				
2.	Transmi	ital of the copy of the translation to the designated	or elected	Offices.	

The International Bureau notifies the applicant that copies of that translation have been transmitted to the following designated or elected Offices requiring such translation:

The following designated or elected Offices, having waived the requirement for such a transmittal at this time, will receive copies of that translation from the International Bureau only upon their request:

AE, AG, AL, AM, AP, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EA, EC, EE, EG, EP, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN; IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OA, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW

3. Reminder regarding translation into (one of) the official language(s) of the elected Office(s).

The applicant is reminded that, where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II).

It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned within the applicable time limit (Rule 74.1). See Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide for further details.

Authorized officer The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes Ellen Moyse 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 89 75

Form PCT/IB/338 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 03/038 VAT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004115	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 April 2004 (19.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21 April 2003 (21.04.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant CYTEC SURFACE SPECIALTIES	AUSTRIA GMBH		
<u> </u>			

1.	 This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a). 							
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.							
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report						
:	Box No. II	Priority	·					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial					
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
Î	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
	Box No. VII	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).							
			Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)					
	The International Bure		Authorized officer					
1	34, chemin des Cole 1211 Geneva 20, Sw		Ellen Moyse					
	Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 75							
Form P	Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)							

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTER		NAL SEARCHI	NG AUTHOR	ITY		
Го:						PCT PCT
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
					Date of quaiting (day/month/year)	
Applic	ant's or	agent's file referen	ice		FOR FURTHER ACTION	
03/	/038	VAT			- ON TONICAL	See paragraph 2 below
		pplication No.		International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCI	r/Ep	2004/004	115	19.04.2004		21.04.2003
Interna	tional P	atent Classificatio	n (IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC	
Applica		SURFACE	SPECIAL	TIES AUSTRI	A GMBH	
1.	This	ppinion contains is	ndications relat	ing to the following items	-	
	\boxtimes	Box No. I		_	•	
Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority						
	$\overline{\Box}$	Box No. III	•	hanne af a data a cotato a co		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				egard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Box No. V	Reasoned sta		l(a)(i) with regard to nos supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial
		Box No. VI	Certain docu		•	
		Βοχ Νο. VΠ	Certain defec	ets in the international app	dication	•
		Box No. VIII	Certain obser	rvations on the internation	nal application	
2.	FUR	THER ACTION				
	than t	ational Preliminar his one to be the l	y Examining A (PEA and the c	luthority ("IPEA") except	that this does not appl	be considered to be a written opinion of the y where the applicant chooses an Authority other an under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of
•	writte	n reply together,	where appropr	considered to be a writter riste, with amendments, of 22 months from the pri-	before the expiration of	the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form xpires later.
	For fu	rther options, see	Porm PCT/ISA	/220.		
3.	For fu	rther details, see n	oles to Form P	CT/ISA/220.		
Van	nd mail:	ng address of the l	IS A /RP		Anthorized	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-ausc al	v≏ mmill	ne namers of the l	SNU!		Authorized officer	·
					·	
acsimil	e No.				Telephone No.	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

	BIERNAI	IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/EP2004/004115
Bo	x No. I Basis of this op	lnion .	
1.	With regard to the language, filed, unless otherwise indica	this opinion has been established on the basis of the internationed under this item.	nal application in the language in which it was
	This opinion has been e	stablished on the basis of a translation from the original langua	ge into the following language
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	, which is the language of a translation furnished	for the purposes of international search (under
			•
2	invention, this opinion has be	de und/or amino ucid sequence disclosed in the internation en established on the basis of:	nal application and necessary to the claimed
	a. type of material	•	
	a sequence listing		
	1able(s) related to	the sequence listing	
	b. format of material		·
	in written format		
	in computer reada	ble form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	·	
	contained in the in	sternational application as filed.	
	filed together with	the international application in computer readable form.	·
	furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority for the purposes of search:	
3.	furnished, the required s	that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and natements that the information in the subsequent or additional and the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	Vor table(s) relating thereto has been filed or copies is identical to that in the application as
4	Additional comments;		•
	`		•
•	The examination	on is based on the following	documents:
	In the version	for the Contracting States:	
	AT BE BG CH CY	CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR	HU IE IT LU MC
	NL PT RO SE SI	SK TR LI	÷
	Description, p	pages :	
	1-16	original version	
	Claims, Nos.:		
	1-10	original version	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/EP2004/004115					
Box No. U Priority						
1. The following document has not yet been furnished:						
copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and	1 66.7(a)).					
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis						
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. Thi the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.	s opinion has nevertheless been exablished on					
This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid tRules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.						
3. Additional observations, if necessary:						
·						
	•					
	•					
·						
·						
·						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004115

Rox	No. V Reasoned stateme citations and expl	under Rule 43his 1(n)(i) with atlans supporting such staten	regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability:	-
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims		YES
		Claims $1-4$, 9,	10	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims .	•	YES
		Claims 1-10 .		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-10		YES
		Claims	·	NO

2 Citations and explanations:

This opinion identifies the following documents cited in the search report; the numbering will be retained for the remainder of the procedure:

D1: EP-A-1092742

1. Document D1, cited by the applicant in the description, describes emulsifiers for alkyd resin emulsions having a high solids content. The emulsifier is synthesized on the basis of a polyhydroxyl component from the class of the sugar alcohols, a C₁-C₈ alkoxy-polyethylene glycol and a cycloaliphatic dicarboxylic acid. The adduct Bb of claim 1 is synthesized on the basis of C_1-C_4 alkoxy-ethylene glycol and cycloaliphatic dicarboxylic acid; the use of a polyhydroxyl component is not expressly ruled out in claim 1 of the application. The ratio of C_1-C_4 alkoxy-ethylene glycol to cycloaliphatic dicarboxylic acid is specified in claim 1 and corresponds to approximately mol/1 mol. According to the mass fractions in claim 3 of D1, a ratio of this kind,

Box No. V

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004115

of 1/1 in mol is not ruled out. Consequently the subject matter of claim 1 appears not to be novel over D1. Additionally, the features of claims 2 to 4 are disclosed in D1 (see claims 3, 7 and 8), and so the subject matter of claims 2 to 4 is not novel over D1.

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bic 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;

- 2. Independently from the issue of novelty it is observed that the omission of a component, in this case the polyhydroxyl component, cannot be regarded as being inventive. The object was only to provide further alkyd resins. In the description the applicant indicates that the emulsifying resin of D1 has an adverse effect on gloss retention and yellowing of a topcoat under weathering conditions. This assertion has not been demonstrated by comparative tests. It is also noted that the comparative example (example 3) of the application cannot be employed with respect to D1, since an aromatic dicarboxylic acid (phthalic anhydride) has been used.
- 3. Miscellaneous:
 The document AT-B 336 277, cited in the application on page 1, does not relate to aqueous dispersions of alkyd resins. This reference therefore appears to be incorrect.